20200204-05-AASIG-F2F-meeting-minutes

AASIG-F2F-meeting-minutes

09.00	 Welcome, logistics (e.g. IT) and agenda review Setting up IT related stuff, getting everyone connected Announcement: GENIVI Spring AMM will take place on May 12-14 in Leipzig, Germany. We will have AASIG face to face meeting(s) there 	T O DO
09:20	 Agenda Review Philippe: reminds the objective of the meeting which is to agree on a couple of design scenarios and move to detailed design and implementation, target is to have PoCs available at the end of Q2 and be able to contact Google Gunnar: Contacting Google -> we need to prepare before the time window closes Going though the agenda, topic by topic so that everyone is in-sync 	
09:30	 Roundtable Alexander: BMW, connectivity, now looking into boardnet abstraction and how we can use it on different platform Gunnar: Genivi project, development/technical lead of Genivi Piotr: Tieto, AA for 3 years, audio routing, helping with Genivi Stefan: Tieto, AA for 8 years, mobile, embedded, architecture Philippe: Genivi 10 years, Autosar in parallel, working with German OEMs & tier1, before was managing a SW consulting company working with Continental in particular, Telecom before that and Aerospace Bartosz: Tieto, wroslaw, 8+ experience with Android, multimedia domain Nadim: esolutions, elektrobit, Mobis, SW developer, how Mobis can contribute Justin: hardware engineer, connectivity, audio, how Mobis can contribute Kevin: CTO high mobility, data model of the car, and other topics, see where Genivi is going Gururaja: Bosch, 6 years with Genivi, connectivity, HMI SW development, Infotainment systems SKYPE: Johan: Mitsubishi electric tier1, 6 years, Genivi quite new, but would like to get back Sachin: Mercedes Benz Research North America 	
	Vehicle HAL Security design	
09:45- 10:45	Access control and permissions in Android (Stefan)	

	Stefan shows slide deck : Build connection VSS to Android permissions model.pdf
	 Stefan shows also : The doc on Custom App Permission: https://developer.android.com/sub/ehopics/permissions/defining an example of permissions on google git, one called vehicle energy custom permissions: only one is available. It is CAR. YENDOR. EXTENSION, this is a problem we need to invent our own version of this extension for additional permissions we might need Android have already a group of permissions available via API (manifest file for examples) How to verify permissions For verifying the permissions, there are different strategies and security concepts Even for new applications, we can assess how harmful an application is Gunnar: question on the key management and the signature verification process Piotr: the playstore is considered as a trusted source of applications Stefan: shows the verification process as as ture up by Google. Jink: https://devurce.android.com/security/apksigning/v2 OEMs need to have a sectret key service management inside their process in order to support the permission verification the package can be signed with the platform key and released to GooglePiay there will be for instance different instantiations of the same code with different signing keys for the different vendors (OEMs) who want to deploy the same app ourrently there is no shared signing key among OEMs Gunar: can an App be signed by 2 different keys 7. The system would check the signature of both keys Stefan: show the oynic can be key endipered as a prove the deployment of an app with their own key. Stefan: this is not possible, there is only one key Gunar: this doesn't Stefan: this in doesn't Stefan: this in endo snow they offication is to ave two signing keys, developer that I made this, system that we agree to this. Stefan: this in endos most the ouplicit is town playstore, the key is developer kay, b
	 Sachin: each display will have their permissions, for OEM how can we configure these displays This might be treated in the next presentation by Piotr Gunnar: the normal model is that the system does verify the signature Each developer sign with their keys and put them on the app store The system checks if the signature is valid and the key format is correct There is no cross checking (like https and playstore) Playstore is only certifying that the application was downloaded using the playstore (and not a side download). Gunnar: We need to check the open/semi-open model, and understand how we will manage it from technical point of view. Analyzing the need and the solutions The solutions would be too much for this group Probably these discussions are being done already between single OEM and Google but not with GENIVI
	Action Section Se
	 Sachin: Google has decided beforehand for instance that controlling the HVAC is only possible for an App signed with the platform key Sachin: in a car we will have multiple displays with different permissions because displays show different things Piotr: I will make a proposition for this because AFAIK this is not supported by Google
	Break
	Dieak
0:30- 0:45	

	Alexander had a sync with Giovanni Vergine & Stefan Wysocki on Adaptive Autosar IAM prior to the F2F but we realized there are
	many open issues we need to look at
	 First we need a solution for accessing or exposing the data in the vehicle.
	 For example: Ambient light, you can change the color, brightness etc. During development, the application developer is directly communicating with the ECU developer to talk about the colors etc. But this does not scale.
	 We need a system that can handle data like what are the colors enums etc
	Question is: how to authorize this and how can we ensure this communication.
	 Idea: Authentication service, Data service.
	 Data service would request the data
	 Authentication would check if this App can get this data and give a token to the data service
	• The data service is then using the token to get data
	Alex: yet another example
	 parking assistance data cannot be abstracted using VSS because there are too many states,
	 Alex: explains why we need some kind of abstractions of vehicle data to share knowledge with developers who are spread
	geographically (they cannot share their knowledge of the car like if they were sitting in the same room)
	 Alex: would like to have a specific service offered by the platform to get access to the vehicle data Alex: Autosar does not seem to be so extensible
	 Alex: Autosar does not seem to be so extensible Alex: we will need to generate tokens to enable the access to applications (token valid for an hour or one day or)
	 Alex, we will need to generate tokens to enable the access to applications (token valid for an nodi of one day of) Alex: in VSS we have leaves which are the data, we will have also groups, we do not know which granularity should be shown at the
	application manifest level
	 Android is activity based. If we make the data service in the framework does it have an advantage ?
	 Advantages
	 We can control better, for example not to forward the request to the data service if the authentication service stopped it
	 Also we would have one way to access the data
	 Disadvantages
	 Bottleneck for the applications always passing through the framework
	 Data server app authentication process have been added to the architectural concepts diagrams, look at AuthenticationMethods
	• We need to think about the idea, what is better - service layer or application layer?
1:30-	Users vs. permissions - presentation of the zone concept in Android 10 (Piotr)

	District at any this library and paramiesians with	
	 Piotr shows this Users and permissions.pptx overview of Android account types (taken from Google documentation) 	
	 Piotr explains how a user can be identified, how to recognize user 	
	 Primary, secondary, guest currently available on android 	
	 discussion on how to check app/user permission, connection to what was discussed earlier 	
	 In Android Automotive now, we have the following situation 	
	 Headless system user: main services, owner of services, etc. 	
	 Secondary user: driver etc. 	
	First example - audio	
	 as a rear user I'd like to play my audio on rear headphones 	
	 as a real user to like to play my audio of real neadphones the display in the rear or audio in the rear are now solved by using a different user id 	
	 The display in the real of addition the real are now solved by using a different user id HAL is not aware of the user: that's the problem 	
	 Same solution as above, application will ask the authorization service for a token and then the application can use this token in order 	
	to access graphql (i.e. the vehicle database)	
	 Other possibility is a content provider, this is the most common way in Android for the application layer to access data 	
	 Main point is that there is a single point of access for example to the HAL 	
	 One example to understand more: let us assume we have one tyre monitoring application developed by BMW 	
	 or is I log as a BMW user, I got all data (tyre temperature for instance in addition) 	
	 if I log to the same application as a Mobis user, I do not get all data 	
	 There might be that the dealer would like to know how many times the wheel over-heated, this is regardless of the user 	
	 Guru: regarding users, we have a lot cases - valet, chauffeur, kids mode, etc. 	
	 Guru: regarding users, we have a for cases - valet, chadneur, kius mode, etc. Guru: can we solve this with the VSS model or with an API created for this purpose ? 	
	 Do we need an application that runs differently for different users ? 	
	 Kevin: it's up to the application to show the UI according to the user This is also a point that the framework should not be deciding on behalf of the application what data is accessible 	
	 Is this needed for the boardnet data? 	
	 Do we have specific examples to show that we need a different user for the same application 	
	 Do we need to give different permissions to different users? 	
	 Gunnar: can we come up with such a use case ? 	
	 Gunnal: can we come up with such a use case ? Gunnar: one option is to create a specific API when you need to access very finely controlled data and not embed the access control 	
	in the VSS database	
	 Another example: the taxi driver's situation 	
	 for Mercedes Benz a taxi belongs to a specific car line (i.e. a taxi has different features than a normal car) 	
	 These users are not really the Linux users but they have their own places to store the data 	
	 Sachin: what we want to achieve is to expose to the Android world the vehicle properties which we have been handling in the Linux- 	
	based infotainment systems so far	
	 Alex: can you and your wife be logged in the system at the same time? 	
	Sachin: the answer is no	
	 Sachin: the control of the display is only based on its position not on whom is using it 	
	Sachin: the restriction at application level does make sense	
	End of long discussion on how to control access to data at the application or the service (framework) level	
	wrap-up (before and after lunch)	
	 data access verification component: application permissions and user permissions need to be combined 	
	 Letting the application decide what to do is good but not for a closed system (TBC) 	
	Framework needs also to have restriction	
	 Permission management can solve this by creating permission of groups 	
	Gunnar: we need UID (user identity) to be shared down to the low layers	
	 Discussion about what we need to solve, what is the problem to solve ? 	
	There are different solutions of course even with Android	
12:30-	Lunch Break	
13:45		
13:45-	VSS layers	
14 :50	voo layoto	
. 4 .00		

	 Please refer to this VSS composable layers for an introduction to VSS layers concept Gunnar presents a short implementation of Android permissions in VSS (TODO Gunnar to provide link to example code) New extension Apspec that links the main signal database to the permission of each In the standard there are signals, attribute, sensors The lota is that we can have metadata such as Android permissions files need to be independent of systems The idea is that we can have metadata such as Android permissions in a separate file Alex: coins the idea of a deployment file Apspec and have the permission "attribute" as a generic thing in the VSS layer and the "Apspecs" in the deployment data for Android Automotive for instance in Autosar you would have a different folder with the permission Gunnar: shows the implementation of VSS layers he has in mind The ideas are written in the file fuelsystem.appspec Think about grencris oblution Think about generic solution Think about generic solution Think about generic solution Gunnar: we need to write some tooling to process the VSS layers Apspec could have a different fold process the VSS layers Apspec could have no include just the entire name Is better to keep the same structure? Opposite mapping - entity Nearest to the Android Protection level can be included More user friendly This is preferred Thack #1: do not include users, just the authentication service and application talking to directly to data server, the users will be dealt with a different custom solution Track #1: do not include users, just the authentication service and application talking to directly to data server, the users will be dealt with a different custom solution Track #1: do not include users, just the authentication service and application talking to directly to data server	
	 W3C list – vss layers: https://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/public-automotive/2020Jan/thread.html 	
	Vehicle HAL Security design ends	
14:50- 15:05	Break	
15:05- 15:20	Agenda re-shuffling	
	Vehicle HAL Technical Proposals - further refinement	
15:20- 16:00	Android internal service (Some signal-connecting library using VSS standard) (Stefan)	
	 Stefan shows this VSS to standard Vehicle HAL.pdf on the translation from VSS to VHAL properties Long discussion on variant III slide and content of vehicle HAL (custom HAL vs. vendor-extension) Discussion on variant alternatives to implement things in Treble or the framework We don't write in HAL but in HAL extension We have some work to do to agree on the model before having people work on implementation Mapping from vehicle data to VSS and properties is needed Kevin: as a third party app developer, do I choose if I want a permission of Android or a VSS permission? Are there two ways of getting data? Yes but the Google way is key/value, with a limited API For instance VSS has battery status, Google does not, what happens in the future ? So yes there is two ways of working SOA or Data driven approach We need to define which data are cached or which data has to be directly taken Property value change propagation will be covered by the protocol implementation we use to communicate between the data server and the app If we only have get/set/subscribe we don't need an API 	
16:00- 16:10	Break	
16:10- 17:05	External services - SOME/IP (Gunnar)	

 Gunnar shows the slide with Some/IP usage between the vehicle nodes (look at slide 14 Architectural proposal III (via Global SomeIP Service) of this Vehicle HAL Architectural Design Concepts The idea is to mirror in the framework layer services that are outside the system,. This is related to connecting Android and non-Android systems The general connectivity concept in Autosar is the following there is a request, followed by a send to authentication: is this request allowed? Then the request is denied or the data are sent back The concept here is saying: any app will check in the database if the request is allowed or not But in case of external apps/db to the system, the app will only check if the system can request data but not per application So the system needs to implement a way to check if the application can access the data from the external app/db Note: The full SomeIP specs tree is available online for more reading at https://www.autosar.org/standards/foundation/, select Release R19-11 When we have a VSS, we can better describe or know where the data is coming from exactly The main problem with app directly or via socket communicating with external services is that we won't have control over them, there is no check if this app is allowed to access it Piotr: what about a service that does some service discovery and tries to do the request of the API ? Johan: cannot we put the managers in the HAL ? Actually we discussed it before, it's not a hardware and this is why we shouldn't officially put it in the HAL, this is not where it belongs But we can put it in the HAL because we can make it just to say that this is another abstraction layer interesting discussion on why to use SomeIP, how to aggregate data through an ad-hoc data server talking via someip to get the elementary data	
End of Day 1	
Day 2	T O DC
Start	
Summary of expectations	
 Sachin: Which design to use (develop), or at least prioritize ? Alex: which directions should we go ? external data server as a service, SomeIP connection to framework layer and apps Sachin: it looks promising in particular the integration of VSS, we need to select one approach and projectize it Recap of yesterday's discussion approach 1: (data server app) is sitting somewhere, on a Linux partition or a different ECU, we have an App installed on Android, this App needs a web token to get the data approach 2: (architecture proposal via custom HAL), the app does not have any direct communication to the data service approach 3: SomeIP approach there is a SomeIP service somewhere and there is a generic SomeIP service in the framework Nadym: where is the bottleneck in approach 2? Alex: this has to do with the number of requests for data 	
	 SomeP Service) of this Vehicle HAL Architectural Design Concepts The idea is to innorr in the framework layer services that are usuited the system. This is related to connecting Android and non-Android systems The general connective concept in Autoson is the following there is a request, followed by a send to authentication: is this request allowed? Then the request is denied or the data are sent of the system cash to integrate is the following there is a request, followed by a send to authentication: is this request allowed? Then the request is denied or the data are sent of the system cash to integrate is available online for more reading at https://www.autosar.org/standards/coundation/, select Release H3-11 When we have a VSS, we can better describe or know where the data is coming from exactly The main problem with app offectly or via socket communicating with external services is that we wont have control over them, there is no check if the app is allowed to access it Port: what about a service is docovery and tries to do the request of the API ? Johan: cannot we put the managers in the HAL ? Anan: cannot we put the managers in the HAL ? A chaday we docuseed it before, is no a hardware and this is why we shouldn't officially put it in the HAL, because we can make it just to say that this is another abstraction layor Integrating discussion on why to use SomeIP, how to aggregate data through an ad-hoc data server talking via someip to get the elementary data We need to change the architecture diagrams We need to change the architecture d

AASIG Statement Of Work - **DECISION** Vehicle Data Access Architectural Design & Implementation

- AA SIG will develop a PoC implementation of the External Data Server (priority one).
 AA SIG will develop a PoC implementation of the Data Server inside the Framework (so-called Internal Data Server) (priority two)
 AA SIG will develop a PoC implementation of the SomeIP stack inside the Framework (priority three)
 AASIG will develop a PoC implementation of the Google VHAL + OEM Extensions inside (priority four)

10: 00- 10: 10	Break - meeting split - Vehicle HAL and Audio HAL tracks
10: 10- 12: 15	Vehicle HAL / Vehicle Data Access Track
	Work Breakdown Structure for the External Data Server Proof-Of-Concept
	 The objective of this session is to develop an initial WBS for implementing the External Data Server. The TODOs listed below were added to the EA block-diagram, look at ExternalDataServerPoC-WBS.pptx After review and addition of a <i>description of work and definition of done</i> to each TODO, these TODOs will be entered into Jira. Vehicle HAL split meeting participants elaborated the WBS below TODO Develop an initial WBS for implementing an InternalDataServer (Priority 2) Proof-Of-Concept)
	VSS feeder component
	 todo finalize permissions layer concept (independent work item) todo create a layer concept for the Franca to VSS leaf mapping (model transformation) todo design and implement franca service (SomeIP) todo implement feeder as PoC todo check signal to service translation in Adaptive Autosar todo agree on PoC use cases for the implementation
	 todo create PoC Someip simulation component to playback agreed use cases note: we could consider using an Adaptive Autosar node like what we did for the FARACON demo note: VSS data base could be merged into the VSS feeder todo select and implement VSS data storage (e.g. VISS, Geotab W3C PoC implementation) look at W3C wiki page on VISS
	 https://at.projects.genivi.org/wiki/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=40403466 look at melco: https://github.com/MEAE-GOT
	VSS data server component
	 todo APP implement authentication to access the data server (eg JWT), e.g. json Web token relates to Vehicle Signal Authentication todo APP implement access for in-vehicle data, (e.g. App manifest permissions layer concept) todo APP implement request/response serialization Gunnar: this relates to a communication protocol (so-called binary protocol) todo resolve requested data for the APP from the VSS data structure
	 todo change/write data values for requested data leaves look at https://github.com/w3c/automotive/issues/322 todo write a generator which will handle permissions in the data server look at on-going discussion in W3C on the dynamic registry https://www.w3.org/2019/11/W3C_Gen2_dynamic_registry.pdf (Geotab
	proposal)
	todo request APP permissions from package manager
	 todo request APP permissions from package manager todo generate access token for the APP including the APP permissions
	Application Layer
	 todo Implement the APP permissions based on permissions defined/proposed in VSS layers todo implement access token request todo APP implement request/response serialization for the client todo implement the selected use cases
13: 00- 13: 45	Lunch break
13: 45- 16: 00	Vehicle HAL / Vehicle Data Access Track

 stage 2 So to Google readiness check (early Q3 - July ?) stage 4 Set Les summit (Q4 - October-November ?) stage 4 GES 2021 (January 2021) Target platform for the PoCs Stage 4 GES 2021 (January 2021) Target platform for the PoCs Stage 4 GES 2021 (January 2021) Target platform for the PoCs Stage 4 GES 2021 (January 2021) External Data Server Concept – BOM (technology selection) P Intorm: Renease R-Carl H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P Platform: Renease R-Carl H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P Platform: Renease R-Carl H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P Platform: Renease R-Carl H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P Platform: Renease R-Carl H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P Platform: Renease R-Carl H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P Platform: Renease R-Carl H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P Platform: Renease R-Carl H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P Platform: Renease R-Carl H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P Platform: Renease R-Carl H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P Platform: Renease R-Carl H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P Platform: Renease R-Carl H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P Platform: Renease R-Carl H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P Platform: Renease R-Carl H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P Platform: Renease R-Carl H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P Platform: Renease R-Carl H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P Platform: Renease R-Carl H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P Platform: Renease R-Carl H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P Platform: Renease R-Carl H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P Platform: Renease R-Carl H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P Platform: Renease R-Carl H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P Platform: Renease R-Carl H3 or NXP or Platform Renease R-Carl H	 stage 2 Go to 	AMM (12-14 May)
 stage 4 CES 2021 (January 2021) Target platform for the PoCs VGSP-application layer on Reneass R-Car H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P External Data Server Concept - BOM (lechnology selection) Platform: Reneass R-Car H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P 1- Android App in Application Layer 2- Android Kate Application Layer 2- Android Kate Application Layer 2- Android Kate Application Layer 2- Anolio GraphOL Server (Data Server) 2- Anolio GraphOL Server (Data Server) 2- Anolio GraphOL Server (Data Server) 3- Feeder Use Cases for the PoCs Battery status (high voltage) 3- Ar Conditioning Work Breakdown Structure for the Internal Data Server Proof-Of-Concept wework of WBS for the External Data Server Proof-Of-Concept wework of WBS for the External Data Server Proof-Of-Concept wework of WBS for the External Data Server Proof-WBS pptx Identify the deliverables / outcome Deliverable #1 - Vehicle Data Access Architecture weit Enhand Internal Data Server Concept, pros and cons SomelP Inside the Transwork, pros and cons SomelP Inside the Transwork, pros and cons SomelP Inside the Tran	estade 3 Fall te	Google readiness check (early Q3 - July ?)
Target platform for the PCCS • VSS data server on laptophinux with vomelp component • AOB*-application layer on Reneass R-Car H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P External Data Server Concept - BOM (technology selection) • Platform: Reneass R-Car H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P • 1- Android Apole Thigh • Aradie Apole Thigh • Platform: Reneass R-Car H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P • 1- Android Apole Thigh • Aradie Apole Thigh • Platform: Reneass R-Car H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P • 1- Android Apole Thigh • Java Implement Autor Docker / SomelP (vsomelp) • 1- Android Apole Thigh • Java Implement Mathematication • Java Implement Autor Docker / SomelP (vsomelp) • 1- NotaJS • Java Implement Mathematication • Java Implement Mathematication • Implement Mathematication • Java Implement Mathematication • Implement Subscriptions • Java Implement Mathematication • Java Implement Mathematication • Implement Mathematication • Java Implement Mathematication • Java Implement Mathematication • Java Implement Mathematication • Java Implement Mathematication <t< td=""><td></td><td></td></t<>		
 VSS data server on lippoplinux with visomelp component AGSP+application layer on Renessa R-Car H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P External Data Server Concept – BOM (technology selection) Platform: Renessa R-Car H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P 1 - Android Appin Application Layer 2 - Authorication Server (Data Server (Data Server) 2 - Authorication Server (Data Server (Data Server) 3 - Android Appin Application I. Platform: Notebook with Linux / Docker / SomelP (visomelp) 1 - NodeJS 2 - Authoritation Server (Data Server) 3 - Schema generated out of VSS 9 - Emissions generated out of VSS 9 - Emissions generated out of VSS 9 - May Implement Nutations 1 - Implement Russions 9 - 3 - Feeder Wate Cases for the PoCs 1. Battery status (high voltage) 2. The pressure 3. Air Conditioning Work Breakdown Structure for the Internal Data Server Proof-Of-Concept • twowk of WBS for the External Data Server/PoC-WBS pptx Identify the deliverables / outcome Deliverable #1 - Vehicle Data Access Architecture byte: technical Data Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept • triamal Data Server concept, pros and cons • SomelP Inside the framework, pros & cons Deliverable #2 - Vehicle Data Access Permission Management type: technical Data Server concept, pros and cons • SomelP Inside the framework concept: permission management tas a variant of previous one • SomelP Inside the framework concept: permission management (TBC) Deliverable #3 - Vehicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials type: technical Data Server concept, component & technology selection • External Data Server concept: component & technology selection 	- stage 4 CES 2	JZT (January 2021)
AOSP-application layer on Renease R-Car H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P External Data Server Concept – BOM (technology selection) Platform: Renease R-Car H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P 1 - Android App in Application Layer - Java Implementation Platform: Notebook with Linux / Docker / SomeIP (xsomeip) 2 - Authentication Service / SomeIP (xsomeip) - 1. NoteJS - Applied GraphOL. Server (Data Server) - LoteJS - Premissions generated out of VSS - Premission generated out of VSS - Premissi	arget platform fo	r the PoCs
External Data Server Concept – BOM (technology selection)	 VSS data serv 	er on laptop/linux with vsomeip component
 Platform: Renesas R-Car H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P 1- Android Apoli Puggin 2- Authentication Service in Framework layer Java Implementation Platform: Notebook with Linux / Docker / SomelP (vsomeip) 1- Notebook with Linux / Docker / SomelP (vsomeip) 1- Notebook with Linux / Docker / SomelP (vsomeip) 2- Apolio GraphOL. Server (Data Server) 2- Somel Permissions generated out of VSS 9- Permission generated out of VSS (Protectore Proceet Permission Management type: technical brief content: Petwernal Data Server concept; permission management baseline Petwernal Data Server concept; permission management generated out for previous one 9- SomelP Inside the framework concept; permission management (TBC) Petwernal Data Server concept; permission management (TBC) Petwernal Data Server concept; permission management	 AOSP+applica 	tion layer on Renesas R-Car H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P
 1- Android Appile Plugin 2- Authentication Service in Framework layer 3- Android Appile Plugin 2- Authentication Service in Framework layer 3- Android Appile Plugin 2- Appile GraphCL Server (Data Server) 3- Kodda S 2- Appile GraphCL Server (Data Server) 3- Schema generated out of VSS 9- Permissions generated out of VSS 9- Wr authentification. 9- Inplement Resolvers 9- Inplement Subscriptions 3- Feeder Use Cases for the PoCS 1. Battery status (high voltage) 2- Trop pressure 3. Air Conditioning Work Breakdown Structure for the Internal Data Server Proof-Of-Concept rework of WBS for the External Data Server Proof-Of-Concept rework of WBS for the External Data Server Proof-Of-Concept rework of WBS for the External Data Server Proof-Of-Concept rework of WBS for the External Data Server Proof-Of-Concept rework of WBS for the External Data Server Proof-Of-Concept rework of WBS for the External Data Server Proof-Of-Concept rework of WBS for the External Data Server Proof-Of-Concept rework of WBS for the External Data Server oncept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept enternal Data Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept enternal Data Server concept, permission management tase variant of previous one SomeIP inside the framework, pros & cons Deliverable #1 - Vehicle Data Access Permission management tase variant of previous one SomeIP inside the framework concept: permission management tase variant of previous one SomeIP inside the framework concept: permission management (TBC) Deliverable #3 - Vehicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills	External Data Serv	/er Concept – BOM (technology selection)
Ardroid Apollo Flugin Ardroid Apollo GraphOL Server (Data Server) Ardroid Apollo GraphOL Server (Data Server) Schema generated out of VSS Permissions generated out of VSS Permissions generated out of VSS Ardroid Apollo Flugin Schema generated out of VSS Ardroid Apollo GraphOL Server (Data Server) Schema generated out of VSS Ardroid Apollo Flugin More and Mutations Implement Internal Data Server Proof-Of-Concept Implement Mutations Implement Mutations Implement Internal Data Server Proc-WBS.ptx Identify the deliverables / outcome Deliverable #1 - Vehicle Data Access Architecture Internal Data Server concept, pros and cons SomeIP inside the framework, pros & cons SomeIP inside the framework concept: permission management taseline Internal Data Server concept; permission management taseline Internal Data Server concept; permission management tase avainant of previous one SomeIP inside the framework concept: permissio	Platform: Ren	esas R-Car H3 or NXP boards with AOSP P
 2. Authentication Service in Framework layer Java Implementation Platform: Notebook with Linux / Docker / SomeIP (visomeip) 3. Avoids 2. Apolic GraphOL: Server (Data Server) Sohema generated out of VSS Permissions generated out of VSS Permissions generated out of VSS With authentification. implement Rasolvers implement Ruisolvers implement Subscriptions 3. Feeder Use Cases for the PoCS Betrey status (high voltage) The pressure a Air Conditioning Work Breakdown Structure for the Internal Data Server Proof-Of-Concept revokit of WBS for the External Data Server Proof-Of-Concept verwork of WBS for the External Data Server Proof-Of-Concept revokit of WBS for the External Data Server/PoC-WBS.pptx Identify the deliverables / outcome Deliverable #1 - Vehicle Data Access Architecture Systemetric Data Server Proof-Of-Concept External Data Server concept, pros and cons. SomeIP inside the framework, pros & cons Deliverable #2 - Vehicle Data Access Permission Management type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept, permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept; permission management baseline External Data Server concept; permission management as a variant of previous one SomeIP inside the framework concept; permission management (TEC) Deliverable #3 - Vehicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials brief content: External Data Server concept; permission management tas a variant of previous one SomeIP inside the framework concept; permission management (TEC)		
 Java Implementation Platom: Kotebook with Linux / Docker / SomelP (vsomeip) 1 - NodeJS 2 - Apollo GraphOL Server (Data Server) Schema generated out of VSS Permissions generated out of VSS Permissions generated out of VSS WT authentification. implement Mutations implement Mutations implement Mutations implement Mutations implement Rosolvers a Feeder Use Cases for the PoCs Battery status (high voltage) Tre pressure Air Conditioning Work Breakdown Structure for the Internal Data Server Proof-Of-Concept rework of WBS for the External Data Server in order to adapt it to the internal data server architecture look at EA diagram in InternalDataServerPoC-WBS.ptx Identify the deliverables / outcome Deliverable #1 - Vehicle Data Access Architecture type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept Internal Data Server concept, pros and cons SomelP inside the framework, pros & cons Deliverable #2 - Vehicle Data Access Permission Management type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept, permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept, permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept; permission management as a variant of previous one SomelP inside the framework concept: permission management (TBC) Deliverable #3 - Vehicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept; permission management tas a variant of previous one SomelP inside the framework concept: permission management (TBC) 		
 1-NodeJS 2-Apollo GraphOL Server (Data Server) Schema generated out of VSS Permissions generated out of VSS UNT authentification. implement Resolvers implement Subscriptions 3-Freeder Use Cases for the PoCs Battery status (high voltage) The pressure Air Conditioning Work Breakdown Structure for the Internal Data Server Proof-Of-Concept rework of WBS for the External Data Server Proof-Of-Concept rework of WBS for the External Data Server in order to adapt it to the internal data server architecture look at EA diagram in InternalDataServerPoC-WBS.pptx Identify the deliverables / outcome Deliverable #1 - Vehicle Data Access Architecture type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept Internal Data Server concept, pros and cons SomeIP inside the framework, pros & cons Deliverable #2 - Vehicle Data Access Permission Management tas a variant of previous one External Data Server concept, permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept, permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept, permission management tas a variant of previous one SomeIP inside the framework concept: permission management (TBC) Deliverable #3 - Vehicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept: permission management (TBC) Deliverable #3 - Vehicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept: component & technology selection Internal Data Server concept: component & technology selection 	Java	Implementation
Schema generated out of VSS Overhissions generated out of VSS Overhission generated out of VSS Overhissions generated out of VSS Overhission management baseline Internal Data Server concept, permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept, permission management (TBC) Deliverable #3 - Vehicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept - component & technology selection Internal Data Server concept - component & technology selection		
VMT authentification. implement Subscriptions or 3- Feeder Use Cases for the PoCs implement Subscriptions or 3- Feeder Use Cases for the PoCs implement Subscriptions or 3- Feeder Use Cases for the PoCs implement Subscriptions implement Subscriptions or 3- Feeder Use Cases for the PoCs implement Subscriptions imp	■ Sche	ema generated out of VSS
 implement Resolvers implement Mutations implement Subscriptions 3 - Feeder Use Cases for the PoCs Battery status (high voltage) The pressure Air Conditioning Work Breakdown Structure for the Internal Data Server Proof-Of-Concept rework of WBS for the External Data Server in order to adapt it to the internal data server architecture look at EA diagram in InternalDataServerPoc-WBS.ptx Identify the deliverables / outcome Deliverable #1 - Vehicle Data Access Architecture type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept Internal Data Server concept, pros and cons SomeIP inside the framework, pros & cons Deliverable #2 - Vehicle Data Access Permission Management type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept, permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept, permission management as a variant of previous one SomeIP inside the framework concept: permission management (TBC) Deliverable #3 - Vehicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept - component & technology selection Internal Data Server concept - component & technology selection 		
implement Mutations implement Subscriptions 3 - Feeder Use Cases for the PoCs 1. Battery status (high voltage) 2. Trice pressure 3. Air Conditioning Work Breakdown Structure for the Internal Data Server Proof-Of-Concept rework of WBS for the External Data Server in order to adapt it to the internal data server architecture iook at EA diagram in InternalDataServerPoC-WBS.pptx Identify the deliverables / outcome Deliverable #1 - Vehicle Data Access Architecture type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept internalData Server concept, pros and cons SomeIP inside the framework, pros & cons Deliverable #2 - Vehicle Data Access Permission Management type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept, permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept; permission management taseline Internal Data Server concept; permission management taseline Internal Data Server concept; permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept; permission management taseline Internal Data Server concept; permission management tase variant of pr		
S - Feeder Use Cases for the PoCs Battery status (high voltage) Tree pressure Air Conditioning Work Breakdown Structure for the Internal Data Server Proof-Of-Concept rework of WBS for the External Data Server norder to adapt it to the internal data server architecture look at EA diagram in InternalDataServerPoC-WBS.pptx Identify the deliverables / outcome Deliverable #1 - Vehicle Data Access Architecture External Data Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept External Data Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept Internal Data Server concept, pros and cons External Data Server concept, pros and cons SomelP inside the framework, pros & cons Deliverable #2 - Vehicle Data Access Permission Management type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept; permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept; permission management baseline SomelP inside the framework concept; permission management tas a variant of previous one SomelP inside the framework concept; permission management (TBC) Deliverable #3 - Vehicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept; component & technology selection External Data Server concept - component & technology selection	imple	ement Mutations
Use Cases for the PoCs		
 Battery status (high voltage) Tire pressure Air Conditioning Work Breakdown Structure for the Internal Data Server Proof-Of-Concept rework of WBS for the External Data Server in order to adapt it to the internal data server architecture look at EA diagram in InternalDataServerPoC-WBS.pptx Identify the deliverables / outcome Deliverable #1 - Vehicle Data Access Architecture type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept Internal Data Server concept, pros and cons SomeIP inside the framework, pros & cons Deliverable #2 - Vehicle Data Access Permission Management type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept, permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept, permission management baseline External Data Server concept; permission management as a variant of previous one SomeIP inside the framework concept: permission management (TBC) Deliverable #3 - Vehicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept - component & technology selection Internal Data Server concept - component & technology selection 	0-1 6606	
 2. Tire pressure 3. Air Conditioning Work Breakdown Structure for the Internal Data Server Proof-Of-Concept rework of WBS for the External Data Server in order to adapt it to the internal data server architecture look at EA diagram in InternalDataServerPoC-WBS.pptx dentify the deliverables / outcome Deliverable #1 - Vehicle Data Access Architecture ype: technical brief content: External Data Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept Internal Data Server concept, pros and cons SomeIP inside the framework, pros & cons Deliverable #2 - Vehicle Data Access Permission Management ype: technical brief content: External Data Server concept, permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept; permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept; permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept; permission management baseline SomeIP inside the framework concept: permission management tas a variant of previous one SomeIP inside the framework concept: permission management (TBC) Deliverable #3 - Vehicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials ype: technical brief content: External Data Server concept - component & technology selection Internal Data Server concept - component & technology selection 	Jse Cases for the	PoCs
 Air Conditioning Work Breakdown Structure for the Internal Data Server Proof-Of-Concept rework of WBS for the External Data Server in order to adapt it to the internal data server architecture look at EA diagram in InternalDataServerPoC-WBS.pptx Identify the deliverables / outcome Deliverable #1 - Vehicle Data Access Architecture ype: technical brief content: External Data Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept Internal Data Server concept, pros and cons SomeIP inside the framework, pros & cons Deliverable #2 - Vehicle Data Access Permission Management ype: technical brief content: External Data Server concept, permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept, permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept: permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept: permission management baseline SomeIP inside the framework concept: permission management tas a variant of previous one SomeIP inside the framework concept: permission management (TBC) Deliverable #3 - Vehicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials ype: technical brief content: External Data Server concept - component & technology selection Internal Data Server concept - component & technology selection 		(high voltage)
 Work Breakdown Structure for the Internal Data Server Proof-Of-Concept rework of WBS for the External Data Server in order to adapt it to the internal data server architecture look at EA diagram in InternalDataServerPoC-WBS.pptx Identify the deliverables / outcome Deliverable #1 - Vehicle Data Access Architecture ype: technical brief context is the baseline concept Internal Data Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept Internal Data Server concept, pros and cons SomeliP inside the framework, pros & cons Deliverable #2 - Vehicle Data Access Permission Management to previous one External Data Server concept, permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept; permission management baseline External Data Server concept; permission management baseline External Data Server concept; permission management baseline External Data Server concept; permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept; permission management baseline External Data Server concept; permission management tas a variant of previous one SomelP inside the framework concept: permission management (TBC) Deliverable #3 - Vehicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials the context is the chancel bird context; External Data Server concept - component & technology selection Internal Data Server concept - component & technology selection 		
 rework of WBS for the External Data Server in order to adapt it to the internal data server architecture look at EA diagram in InternalDataServerPoC-WBS.pptx Identify the deliverables / outcome Deliverable #1 - Vehicle Data Access Architecture type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept Internal Data Server concept, pros and cons Deliverable #2 - Vehicle Data Access Permission Management type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept, permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept: permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept: permission management baseline SomeIP inside the framework concept: permission management baseline External Data Server concept: permission management baseline SomeIP inside the framework concept: permission management to previous one SomeIP inside the framework concept: permission management (TBC) Deliverable #3 - Vehicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials type: technical brief content: • External Data Server concept - component & technology selection • External Data Server concept - component & technology selection • External Data Server concept - component & technology selection • Internal Data Server concept - component & technology selection • External Data Server concept - component & technology selection	3. Air Conditionir	g
 rework of WBS for the External Data Server in order to adapt it to the internal data server architecture look at EA diagram in InternalDataServerPoC-WBS.pptx Identify the deliverables / outcome Deliverable #1 - Vehicle Data Access Architecture ype: technical brief content: External Data Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept Internal Data Server concept, pros and cons Deliverable #2 - Vehicle Data Access Permission Management ype: technical brief content: External Data Server concept, permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept: permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept: permission management as a variant of previous one SomeIP inside the framework concept: permission management (TBC) Deliverable #3 - Vehicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials ype: technical brief content: External Data Server concept - component & technology selection External Data Server concept - component & technology selection 	Nork Breakdown	Structure for the Internal Data Server Proof-Of-Concent
 look at EA diagram in InternalDataServerPoC-WBS.pptx Identify the deliverables / outcome Deliverable #1 - Vehicle Data Access Architecture type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept Internal Data Server concept, pros and cons SomelP inside the framework, pros & cons Deliverable #2 - Vehicle Data Access Permission Management type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept, permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept; permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept; permission management as a variant of previous one SomelP inside the framework concept: permission management (TBC) Deliverable #3 - Vehicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept - component & technology selection Internal Data Server concept - component & technology selection 		-
Identify the deliverables / outcome Deliverable #1 - Vehicle Data Access Architecture type: technical brief content: • External Data Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept • Internal Data Server concept, pros and cons • SomeIP inside the framework, pros & cons Deliverable #2 - Vehicle Data Access Permission Management type: technical brief content: • External Data Server concept, permission management baseline • Internal Data Server concept, permission management baseline • Internal Data Server concept; permission management as a variant of previous one • SomeIP inside the framework concept: permission management (TBC) Deliverable #3 - Vehicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials type: technical brief content: • External Data Server concept - component & technology selection • External Data Server concept - component & technology selection		
 Vehicle Data Access Architecture type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept Internal Data Server concept, pros and cons SomeIP inside the framework, pros & cons Deliverable #2 - Vehicle Data Access Permission Management type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept, permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept: permission management as a variant of previous one SomeIP inside the framework concept: permission management (TBC) Deliverable #3 - Vehicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept - component & technology selection External Data Server concept - component & technology selection 		
 type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept Internal Data Server concept, pros and cons SomelP inside the framework, pros & cons Deliverable #2 - Vehicle Data Access Permission Management type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept, permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept: permission management as a variant of previous one SomelP inside the framework concept: permission management (TBC) Deliverable #3 - Vehicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept - component & technology selection Internal Data Server concept - component & technology selection 		
 content: External Data Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept Internal Data Server concept, pros and cons SomelP inside the framework, pros & cons Deliverable #2 - Vehicle Data Access Permission Management type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept, permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept, permission management as a variant of previous one SomelP inside the framework concept: permission management (TBC) Deliverable #3 - Vehicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept - component & technology selection Internal Data Server concept - component & technology selection 	dentify the delive	ables / outcome
 External Data Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept Internal Data Server concept, pros and cons SomelP inside the framework, pros & cons Deliverable #2 - Vehicle Data Access Permission Management type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept, permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept: permission management as a variant of previous one SomelP inside the framework concept: permission management (TBC) Deliverable #3 - Vehicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept - component & technology selection Internal Data Server concept - component & technology selection 	Deliverable #1 - Ve	hicle Data Access Architecture
 Internal Data Server concept, pros and cons SomelP inside the framework, pros & cons Deliverable #2 - Vehicle Data Access Permission Management type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept, permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept: permission management as a variant of previous one SomelP inside the framework concept: permission management (TBC) Deliverable #3 - Vehicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept - component & technology selection Internal Data Server concept - component & technology selection 	Deliverable #1 - Ve ype: technical brief	hicle Data Access Architecture
 SomelP inside the framework, pros & cons Deliverable #2 - Vehicle Data Access Permission Management type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept, permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept: permission management as a variant of previous one SomelP inside the framework concept: permission management (TBC) Deliverable #3 - Vehicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept - component & technology selection Internal Data Server concept - component & technology selection 	Deliverable #1 - Ve ype: technical brief	hicle Data Access Architecture
Deliverable #2 - Vehicle Data Access Permission Management type: technical brief content: • External Data Server concept, permission management baseline • Internal Data Server concept: permission management as a variant of previous one • SomelP inside the framework concept: permission management (TBC) Deliverable #3 - Vehicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials type: technical brief content: • External Data Server concept - component & technology selection • Internal Data Server concept - component & technology selection	Deliverable #1 - Ve ype: technical brief content: • External Data	hicle Data Access Architecture Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept
 type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept, permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept: permission management as a variant of previous one SomeIP inside the framework concept: permission management (TBC) Deliverable #3 - Vehicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept - component & technology selection Internal Data Server concept - component & technology selection 	Deliverable #1 - Ve ype: technical brief content: • External Data • Internal Data S	hicle Data Access Architecture Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept Server concept, pros and cons
 type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept, permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept: permission management as a variant of previous one SomeIP inside the framework concept: permission management (TBC) Deliverable #3 - Vehicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept - component & technology selection Internal Data Server concept - component & technology selection 	Deliverable #1 - Ve ype: technical brief content: • External Data • Internal Data S	hicle Data Access Architecture Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept Server concept, pros and cons
 External Data Server concept, permission management baseline Internal Data Server concept: permission management as a variant of previous one SomeIP inside the framework concept: permission management (TBC) Deliverable #3 - Vehicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept - component & technology selection Internal Data Server concept - component & technology selection 	Deliverable #1 - Ve ype: technical brief content: • External Data • Internal Data S • SomeIP inside	hicle Data Access Architecture Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept Server concept, pros and cons the framework, pros & cons
 Internal Data Server concept: permission management as a variant of previous one SomeIP inside the framework concept: permission management (TBC) Deliverable #3 - Vehicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept - component & technology selection Internal Data Server concept - component & technology selection 	Deliverable #1 - Ve ype: technical brief content: • External Data • Internal Data S • SomeIP inside Deliverable #2 - Ve ype: technical brief	hicle Data Access Architecture Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept Server concept, pros and cons the framework, pros & cons hicle Data Access Permission Management
 SomeIP inside the framework concept: permission management (TBC) Deliverable #3 - Vehicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept - component & technology selection Internal Data Server concept - component & technology selection 	Deliverable #1 - Ve ype: technical brief content: • External Data • Internal Data S • SomeIP inside Deliverable #2 - Ve ype: technical brief	hicle Data Access Architecture Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept Server concept, pros and cons the framework, pros & cons hicle Data Access Permission Management
Deliverable #3 - Vehicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials type: technical brief content: • External Data Server concept - component & technology selection • Internal Data Server concept - component & technology selection	Deliverable #1 - Ve ype: technical brief content: • External Data • Internal Data S • SomeIP inside Deliverable #2 - Ve ype: technical brief content: • External Data	hicle Data Access Architecture Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept server concept, pros and cons the framework, pros & cons hicle Data Access Permission Management Server concept, permission management baseline
 type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept - component & technology selection Internal Data Server concept - component & technology selection 	Deliverable #1 - Ve ype: technical brief content: • External Data • Internal Data S • SomeIP inside Deliverable #2 - Ve ype: technical brief content: • External Data • Internal Data S	hicle Data Access Architecture Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept Server concept, pros and cons the framework, pros & cons hicle Data Access Permission Management Server concept, permission management baseline Server concept: permission management as a variant of previous one
 type: technical brief content: External Data Server concept - component & technology selection Internal Data Server concept - component & technology selection 	Deliverable #1 - Ve ype: technical brief content: • External Data • Internal Data S • SomeIP inside Deliverable #2 - Ve ype: technical brief content: • External Data • Internal Data S	hicle Data Access Architecture Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept Server concept, pros and cons the framework, pros & cons hicle Data Access Permission Management Server concept, permission management baseline Server concept: permission management as a variant of previous one
 External Data Server concept - component & technology selection Internal Data Server concept - component & technology selection 	Deliverable #1 - Ve ype: technical brief content: • External Data • Internal Data S • SomeIP inside Deliverable #2 - Ve ype: technical brief content: • External Data • Internal Data S • SomeIP inside	hicle Data Access Architecture Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept Server concept, pros and cons the framework, pros & cons hicle Data Access Permission Management Server concept, permission management baseline Server concept: permission management as a variant of previous one the framework concept: permission management (TBC)
Internal Data Server concept - component & technology selection	Deliverable #1 - Ve ype: technical brief content: External Data S SomeIP inside Deliverable #2 - Ve ype: technical brief content: External Data S SomeIP inside Deliverable #3 - Ve ype: technical brief	hicle Data Access Architecture Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept Server concept, pros and cons the framework, pros & cons hicle Data Access Permission Management Server concept, permission management baseline Server concept: permission management as a variant of previous one the framework concept: permission management (TBC) hicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials
Internal Data Server concept - component & technology selection	Deliverable #1 - Ve ype: technical brief content: External Data S SomeIP inside Deliverable #2 - Ve ype: technical brief content: External Data S SomeIP inside Deliverable #3 - Ve ype: technical brief	hicle Data Access Architecture Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept Server concept, pros and cons the framework, pros & cons hicle Data Access Permission Management Server concept, permission management baseline Server concept: permission management as a variant of previous one the framework concept: permission management (TBC) hicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials
 SOTHER INSIDE THE TRAMEWORK CONCEPT - COMPONENT & TECHNOLOGY SELECTION 	Deliverable #1 - Ve ype: technical brief content: External Data Internal Data S SomeIP inside Deliverable #2 - Ve ype: technical brief content: External Data S SomeIP inside Deliverable #3 - Ve ype: technical brief content: External Data	hicle Data Access Architecture Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept server concept, pros and cons the framework, pros & cons hicle Data Access Permission Management Server concept, permission management baseline Server concept: permission management baseline Server concept: permission management as a variant of previous one the framework concept: permission management (TBC) hicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials Server concept - component & technology selection
content include the numerical controlpt component a technology selection	Deliverable #1 - Ve ype: technical brief content: External Data S SomeIP inside Deliverable #2 - Ve ype: technical brief content: External Data S SomeIP inside Deliverable #3 - Ve ype: technical brief content: External Data S Deliverable #3 - Ve ype: technical brief content: External Data S	hicle Data Access Architecture Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept ierver concept, pros and cons the framework, pros & cons hicle Data Access Permission Management Server concept: permission management baseline Server concept: permission management as a variant of previous one the framework concept: permission management (TBC) hicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials Server concept - component & technology selection Server concept - component & technology selection
	Deliverable #1 - Ve ype: technical brief content: External Data S SomeIP inside Deliverable #2 - Ve ype: technical brief content: External Data S SomeIP inside Deliverable #3 - Ve ype: technical brief content: External Data S Deliverable #3 - Ve ype: technical brief content: External Data S	hicle Data Access Architecture Server concept, pros and cons, why this is the baseline concept server concept, pros and cons the framework, pros & cons hicle Data Access Permission Management Server concept, permission management baseline Server concept: permission management baseline Server concept: permission management as a variant of previous one the framework concept: permission management (TBC) hicle Data Access Proof-Of-Concept Bills of Materials Server concept - component & technology selection

16: 00- 16:	 Vehicle HAL – recap for the Audio HAL participants Alex introduces the work breakdown structure for priority 1 PoC (external data server) 	
05		
	Vehicle HAL Track ends	
10: 10- 12: 15	Audio HAL Track	
	Agenda for this track follows the List of prioritized topics for the Audio HAL	
	Introduction to Android Automotive Audio	
	 Bartosz (Tieto) introduces current audio system, including some various issues using this AA Audio-Source Management.pptx There must be a primary audio HAL this is typically used for car speakers other HALs exist (USB audio etc) I!! The default is that every output is mixed and heard. For automotive, added: Audio contexts. Provide additional info to HAL about the source, so that HAL impl can modify mixing rules etc. In automotive, it is not really expected to handle volume in the source side but rather in the HAL, or possibly external amplifier etc. Audio HAL can mix internal and external sources easily Google interfaces exist, are defined. Vendors often provide some extensions, because there were not. Sachin: Native Android AOSP provides some implementation of the HAL though, right? Some parts of the implementation thus exist Piotr: Yes, a kind of reference implementation, e.g. for emulator Android provides a HAL abstraction. Android can be updated without changing the HAL. Proper ALSA configuration should make it work. Reference implementation works with emulator, but does not appear to be a lot of work into making it production quality and flexible enough for production, etc. TinyALSA is optimized, unnecessary things removed System Player - the visible player is a kind of skin/UI on the system player. Including the codec support. 	
	 (Point 11) Source Management External source (like external Tuner chip) needs to be input to AHAL HwAudioSource configures some "triggers" .e.g to set up the correct mixing inside AudioFlinger The audio stream enters the HAL however. There are plans to improve volume control for external sources. These are TODO comments in code. 	
	Audio Capture	
	 Discussing different ways (before and now) for listening for HotWord ("Hey Google", "Siri", "Alexa, ") Pre-Android 10 One active capture client at one time created limits. HW SoundTrigger used. Android 10 AHAL now required to allow simultaneous activation of input streams but still two audio apps cannot record at the same time (background apps are still connected but receive silence) Some privileged applications can always get the audio stream (e.g. for hotword recognition) Vendors can create privileged applications if they want. We think it is intended behavior to not allow applications to record (i.e. to make sure they receive silence) Possibly an app records the phone call in background. Piotr: Documentation usually mentions the new feature/change, but to understand the logic you have to read the code. 	

Android 10: Multi-Zone audio

- Application can be played in a zone
- A zone contains audio devices
- A zone has separate volume
- A zone can be requested by app
- · Not yet any automatic mapping of app to zone (based on which display was used) (maybe it was fixed in updates of 10, or in later release)
- · HW volume keys controls primary zone only
- Primary Zone is typically used for driver screen
- Secondary Zone typically rear-seat
- Volume to gain mapping curves
 - This exists in Android (phone) but not used in Automotive
 - The mapping is a problem because it only has min, max, and step but realistically you want non-linear gain.
 - Is it OK for Audio HAL to apply the non-linear system ?

Pre-android 10 AudioFocus

- not enforced (applications must respect the setup)
- only phone calls prioritized but not several levels of phone call types (for example)

Android 10: CarAudioFocus

- Internal interaction matrix (currently fixed 1)
- Support multi-zone audio (maintains focus per zone) Interaction Matrix Reject, Exclusive, Concurrent
- Can the Caraudiofocus interaction matrix be modified ?
- (Yes) Only by modifying the AOSP (system partition) part of car service 0 System update would overwrite the change.
- Now: Will the matrix be customized for OEM production projects?
- Later: Will it become possible to configure for the vendor/OEM?
- Discussion: This changes behavior of the system, therefore it might be in Google's interest to make it as fixed as possible (according to the non-fragmentation / identical behavior direction Google prefers for Android).
- Configurability of the matrix is desired! Also, potentially more categories than currently.
- Radio support is relatively poor currently.
- There is a concept of global effects. No real way to control them.
- Control panel can be used to modify the effects (but this is a user feature).
- It is lacking system calibration features.
- ٠ TODO What is the shortlist of issues that must be solved? (Gap analysis)

(Point 7) Global Effect HAL

- Assuming that the other APIs are stable, and sources are connected to ALSA and working then we could define global effects.
- We should have (create) a HAL API to modify the global effects.
- See Architecture picture in "Global Effect HAL" AudioEffects.pptx and look at the following components
- 1. Global Effect HAL
- 2. Global Effect Service
- · Both of these are proposed to be "GENIVI implemented" blue components (the meaning of this is only that there is a potential for a common implementation across many systems, and thus could be done once, as open source).
- Gunnar: if these can be implemented once for all systems, why are they part of HAL from Google perspective? (In other words, if it could be common, why would it not be part of System Partition / AOSP, a.k.a. Green code?)

· Piotr: Yes, there are slight differences (e.g. DSP choice) but presumably some of the implementation could be common, and an abstraction to the system/DSP specific parts could be provided as part of this component.

More questions

- Question: Let's say you install Spotify, how can you integrate the desired behavior?
- Piotr: Simply, Spotify uses the primary audio device today.
- Driver can route output to a display or audio zone (e.g. to a particular headphone jack)
- TV use cases (video/audio mix). i.e. lipsync feature.
- Audio setup directly influences the user experience.

12: Audio HAL recap

45- Sachin: very complex topic, too many open points 13: 00 Sachin: from the HAL perpective, we will get this from the vendor • Sachin: Tieto made a proposal for standardization between audio HAL and audio services TV - Gunnar: we open up the topic but need to further discuss it · audio is strongly related to user experience and therefore an important topic for OEMs

13: 00- 13: 45	Lunch break
	Multi-source management: Multi-source multi-sink
	(Point 1) Networked Audio
	 What's the concrete question here? Idea: Answer this question: "Is AVB supported well in Android?" investigate AVB for the purpose of learning how a network audio system would be designed (because MOST is not so popular). A2B based networks are an option. What other technologies are similar and would drive us towards better understanding? What is meant by device in the question asked to the F2F? Device = speaker, or device inside Linux/Android system, a sound card or another ECU Hypothesis: ALSA interface is appropriate (enough*) abstraction for both the control question and the stream. If this is not true, someone provide counter-examples. Concrete example needed of either the architecture we want to solve, or the use case. *However, things like the added latency of the ALSA driver in question might be required to know
	(Point 4) Audio data transfer
	 Bandwidth issues? Piotr: You normally would push this over a socket of some sort. There is generally no bandwidth problem even pushing multiple PCM streams across a socket. (Summarized discussion) Shared memory? There should not be an issue to just use shared memory mechanism the Linux kernel provides to transfer data between processes However, if we are speaking of transfer between different hardware, or a virtualized system, it is not obvious but at the same time, solutions exist. To what level is this intended to be answered? Some aspects are clearly hardware / platform specific. Others ought to be "known" (i.e. the Linux kernel API and what is theoretically possible to do). To go deeper would require a concrete shared open-source implementation. Is this what the group expects?
	(Point 5) Equalization
	 Can be part of the bigger topic global effects (Point 7). Concrete proposal from Piotr to define the API of a HAL for global effects. "There is a need for a generic interface for controlling audio effects at HAL level, global effects are designed for input streams but control over them is limited by the available interface."
	 The user controlling the effects (setting surround sound etc.) Globally applied system calibration is different (done by OEM/supplier at integration phase). This too needs more support. It must be very configurable. (A system calibration file could be inserted in HAL level without crossing the HAL boundary (not needed in the system)). For development (maybe even in production ?), the calibration data might need to be tweaked in real-time, access limited and not available to user. It would be easier if it is part of HAL API for that reason. Both of these aspects should be considered when designing the system.
	 All in all, the system needs a much more generic interface with more capabilities. Next step: Just do it
	(Point 9) Multiple audio channels
	 "The challenge is to adapt the AA framework to HW I/O, e.g. there are 4 audio channels that need to be presented as 2 audio channels to AA" We don't know what exact issue this is referring to? Henric: It might have been an isolated thing we had to do in a previous project. There are 8 channels in Android.
	(Point 15)
	 (Virtualization, and splitting audio function between Android and "safety OS") may lead to scheduling challenges. Yes, this is known, but it is hard to say how to solve without a concrete case. Are there any features that might help, in general ? Process Priority. Kernel tweaks. Fixing/rewriting kernel drivers. Hypervisor specific issues